

REMARKS

Claims 1, 3, 5-7 and 11-16 are pending and under consideration in the above-identified application. In the Office Action of March 20, 2009, claims 1, 3 5-7 and 11-16 were rejected. Claims 2-4, 8-11 and 17-18 were previously cancelled and remain cancelled.

In this Amendment, claims 1, 12-16 are amended.

I. 35 U.S.C. § 112 Indefiniteness Rejection of Claims

Claims 1, 3, 5-7 and 11-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, second paragraph as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 3 and 5-7 and 11-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. § 112, first paragraph as failing to comply with the written description requirement.

Claims 1, 3, 5-7 and 11-16 were rejected under 35 U.S.C. 11, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the enablement requirement.

With this amendment, Applicant has amended the claims taking into consideration the Examiner's suggestions. Applicant respectfully submits the rejections have been overcome and requests that it be withdrawn.

II. Conclusion

In view of the above amendments and remarks, Applicant submits that all claims are clearly allowable over the cited prior art, and respectfully requests early and favorable notification to that effect.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: June 22, 2009

By: /David R. Metzger/
David R. Metzger
Registration No. 32,919
SONNENSCHN NATH & ROSENTHAL LLP
P.O. Box 061080
Wacker Drive Station, Sears Tower
Chicago, Illinois 60606-1080
(312) 876-8000

12605763/V-1